

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		Fil	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/542,649	07/19/2005		Shoji Ito	Q89230	1668	
	23373	7590 11/20/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				PATEL, ISHWARBHAI B		
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					2841		

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Andien Commence	10/542,649	ITO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMINIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🔀]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	dv 2005					
		action is non-final.					
3)	, 		secution as to the	e merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.	/					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	• • • •					
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen		_					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 1-14, 20-26, 29-32, 36-42, 37 and 45, drawn to multilayer printed wiring board.
- Group II, claim(s) 15-19, 27, 28, 33-35, 43 and 44, drawn to a method for manufacturing a multilayer printed wiring board.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical features of group I include a mother board, a base material with wiring circuit and an inner via hole connecting the motherboard and the base material. In contrast, the special technical features of group II include the steps of laminating a base material having wiring circuit to a motherboard and further comprises a step of forming a via hole.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,649

Art Unit: 2841

3. Further, the invention of Group I contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The species have different structural arrangement.

Page 3

The disclosure is unclear in setting forth how many species are contemplated by the application as various species with different combinations are disclosed. As best understood by the examiner, the following specie requirement is formulated:

Figure 36.

Specie 1	Figure 1.
Specie 2	Figure 5.
Specie 3	Figure 6.
Specie 4	Figure 7.
Specie 5	Figure 8.
Specie 6	Figure 9.
Specie 7	Figure 10.
Specie 8	Figure 15.
Specie 9	Figure 20.
Specie 10	Figure 23.
Specie 11	Figure 26.
Specie 12	Figure 27.
Specie 13	Figure 32.

Specie 14

Art Unit: 2841

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 4

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. Because of complexity of the requirement a telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,649

Art Unit: 2841

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Page 5

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ishwar (I. B.) Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 1933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (571) 272 1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,649

Art Unit: 2841

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ibp

November 13, 2006

Ishwar (I. B.) Patel Primary Examiner